



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

09/981,484

10/17/2001

Edward G. Callway

00100.01.0069

6461

29153 7590 03/24/2008  
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.  
C/O VEDDER PRICE P.C.  
222 N.LASALLE STREET  
CHICAGO, IL 60601

EXAMINER

HSU, JONI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 09/981,484             | CALLWAY, EDWARD G.  |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | JONI HSU               | 2628                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joni Hsu. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christopher Reckamp. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 29 and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: all cited prior art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained how the cited references teach composite rendering instead of rendering alternate frames as the invention does. The Examiner discussed how Claim 30 does not expressly recite that the frames are alternate frames, whereas other claims such as Claim 29 do expressly recite this. Upon receipt of the after final remarks, the Examiner will give the arguments further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Joni Hsu/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2628

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required